

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/344,010	06/25/1999	STEVEN JEROME MOORE	122995-43-34	8566
7590 12/16/2003		EXAMINER		
STEVEN J MOORE S8 BUTTERFIELD ROAD			KIM, AHSHIK	
NEWTOWN, CT 06470			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2876		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>₩</b> (		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/344,010	MOORE, STEVEN JEROME (V
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ahshik Kim	2876
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACT Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a minal rejection under 37 GFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 GFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \( \text{The period for reply expires 2, months from the mailing date b)} \) \( The period for reply expires on (1) the mailing date of this \text{An one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 766.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period required 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 12(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office thereof the control of the	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH- date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply to leater than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's     T CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR).	Brief must be filed within the pe	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);	
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the
<ul><li>(d) they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) avhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: None.		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>17</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: 8-16,18-21 and 26-31.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

MICHAEL G. LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the subject matter claimed in the instant application is tarught by the cited references (see final Office Action).

Continuation of 10. Other: As indicated in previous Office Action, claim 17 is objected; and claims 8-16, 18-21, and 26-31 remain rejected